

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/778,281	02/07/2001	Howard Rafal	A-034 8699		
21253 7	590 05/19/2005		EXAMINER		
CHARLES G. CALL			LESNIEWSKI, VICTOR D		
68 HORSE POND ROAD WEST YARMOUTH, MA 02673-2516			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2155		
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/200	DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
09/778,281		RAFAL ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	Victor Lesniewski	2155	

Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Victor Lesniewski	2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 26 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire in						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>26 April 2005</u> . A brief i date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repliaments	iny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismis	sal of the			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection of claim 8.						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	·······································	my to botom of attack				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	ſ			
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	BL	ARAT BAROT	> <i>†</i> .			
\sim	/ BH PRIM	ARAT BAROT ARY EXAMINER				

Continuation of 13. Other: The amendment has been made to correct limitations in the claims that lacked antecedent basis. The amended claims would be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 in the same way as presented in the final rejection of 1/26/2005.